	Case	1		0 01 0000			11/24/08	Page 1 01 10
73:25-74:1	73:15-20	72:5-8	71:23 (starting as "during")-72:1	15:25 (starting at "I left")-16:3	15:21	6:8-11	Page/Line Cite	
				FRE 401-403, irrelevant, not proper rebuttal. Defendants did not put in testimony about Tapyu employment history.	FRE 401-403, irrelevant, not proper rebuttal. Defendants did not put in testimony about Jahowu 's employment history. This testimony has nothing to do with his tenure at CNL.		Objection (include specific page and line numbers of material objected to and objection(s))	PLAINTIFFS' DESIGNATION OF OLAT (Defendants' Counter-Designations in it Deposition May 12, 2005
				This designation describes Idowu's employment history to establish a foundation for Idowu's knowledge about matters he learned during his tenure with CNL.	This designation describes Idowu's employment history to establish a foundation for Idowu's knowledge about matters he learned during his tenure with CNL.		Response	ATUNJI IDOWU a italicized text) August Continues August Continu

82:13 (starting with "did)-24

Defs.' complete pess

(ending at

	Andrew Andrews	
	PLAINTIFFS' DESIGNATION OF OLATI	ATUNJI IDOWU
	(Defendants' Counter-Designations in italicized text)	italicized text)
	Deposition May 12, 2005	
	Volume 1	
Page/Line Cite	Objection (include specific page and line numbers of material objected to and objection(s))	Response
78:12-16		
78:19		
78:21 (starting with "I think")-22 (ending at "colleagues").	Not proper rebuttal designation, but if allowed, for completeness 78:20-78:23 (all) should be read. Thowu's vague recollection about what Haastrup told him is not proper rebuttal and constitutes speculation that should be excluded FRE 602. Defs. 'completeness designation 78:20-23	This evidence rebuts Deji Haastrup's testimony about how he was treated during the negotiations at Ikorigho, that is, that he was not held hostage.
82:3-8	Idowu is speculating (FRE 602). If the testimony is allowed, then it should include 82:9-12.	The deponent is not speculating but rather testifying about what he remembers.
	Defs.' completeness designation 82:9-12	

testimony to give the jury a misleading impression of Idowu's

Plaintiffs should not be able to cherry pick pieces of the

L-A")-83:9, 83:46-85:8. The designations are proper.

hesignation, 82:24 (beginning with "S-O-

The testimony plaintiffs designated deals with what Haastrup

told Idowu about the negotiations in Ikorigho. While the testimony at 82:24-83:9 goes to that topic, the remainder of what defendants designated does not. Instead it deals with

	(Defendants' Counter-Designations in italicized text)	n italicized text)
	Deposition May 12, 2005	Si
	Volume 1	
Page/Lime Cite	Objection (include specific page and line numbers of material objected to and objection(s))	Response
"mentioned").	testimony. FRE 106, 401-403.	information about other parts of the negotiations, including the alleged agreements that were made on May 26 th and, thus, are not proper counterdesignations or surrebuttal.
86:18 (starting with "Now")-87:6		
87:8-9	Defs.' completeness designation, 87:11-15, 87:19-21	Objection that this is repetitive and cumulative of the testimony designated by plaintiffs.
87:22-88:2	Defs.' completeness designation, 88:3-11. The designations are proper. Plaintiffs should not be able to cherry pick pieces of the testimony to give the jury a misleading impression of Idowu's testimony. FRE 106, 401-403. The counterdesignation impeached Adebawo's testimony.	Plaintiffs' designations have to do with what Haastrup said about how he was treated during the Ikorigho negotiations, but defendants' designation deals with how Sola Adebawo was treated ("as a traitor"). This is beyond the scope of plaintiffs' rebuttal and should be stricken.
89:18-22	Defs.' completeness designation 7-89:17. The designations are proper. Plaintiffs should not be able to cherry	Plaintiffs' designation has to do with what Haastrup said about whether he made progress during the negotiations, not

	Lacks foundation (FRE 602) see previous entry, but if allowed, for completeness: 90:18-23. Defs. 'completeness designation, 90:18-23	90:24 (starting with "he believed")-91:9.
	Lacks foundation (FRE 602), but to the extent it is allowed, for completeness, 90:6 (in its entirety)-90:8 Defs.' completeness designation, 90:6 (in its entirety)-90:8	90:3-6 (ending at "sort of").
Objection to including the reporter's question about what was said, especially since all the deponent does is repeat his testimony after the question.	Defs.' completeness designation, 89:23. Plaintiffs are designating the repetition of the answer. If they want to do that then the reporter's question is necessary to explain why he said it twice and to make clear he was not doing it for emphasis.	89:24-25
about how Adebawo was treated. Defendants are attempting to inject a new matter into the testimony which is not within the scope of plaintiffs' rebuttal exidence, and, thus, should be stricken.	pick pieces of the testimony to give the jury a misleading impression of Idowu's testimony. FRE 106, 401-403.	
Response	Objection (include specific page and line numbers of material objected to and objection(s))	Page/Line Cite
ATUNJI IDOWU a italicized text) 5	PLAINTIFFS' DESIGNATION OF OLAT (Defendants' Counter-Designations in its Deposition May 12, 2005 Volume 1	

testimony later (188:16 and 1/ to which detendants have no objections).	188:15, 190:2-8	Exhibit 107 (Admitted as
This is simply identifying the exhibit to support the	Not proper rebuttal. But, if allowed, for completeness, 187:23-	187:15-22
Plaintiffs' designation is about the general practice in relations to communities. Defendants' designations are a redundant recitation of what was done with regard to CIC.	0 ×	
Defendants designations are beyond the scope and redundant of testimony given by Mr. Haastrup, Mr. Omole and others.	Defs.' completeness designation, 140:18-20, 140:22-25, 141:3-10, 141:22-142:15 (ending with "level"), 143:1-7, 143:11-	140:8-17
Defendants designations are not necessary for completeness. They are just testimony about what Mr. Idowu does not remember Mr. Haastrup saying.	Defs.' completeness designation, 91:15-19, 91:21-24, 92:1-11, 92:15-16, 92:18-92:24, 113:10-24	
Mr Idowu is repeating what Mr. Haastrup told him. He is not speculating.	Speculation (FRE 602), but if permitted, for completeness 91:15-92:24; also 113:10-24	92:25-93:5
The deponent is not speculating but rather testifying about what he remembers.	Speculation (FRE 602). $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{L})$	91:12-14
Response	Objection (include specific page and line numbers of material objected to and objection(s))	Page/Line Cite
	Volume 1	
OI .	Deposition May 12, 2005	
italicized text)	(Defendants' Counter-Designations in italicized text)	
ATUNJI IDOWU	PLAINTIFFS' DESIGNATION OF OLAT	

	Plaintiffs will withdraw the designation	Not proper rebuttal. If allowed to completeness 192:9-10.	192:2-7
		Defs.' completeness designation, 190:19-191:13, 191:21-192:1	
. *	Plaintiffs will withdraw the designation	Not proper rebuttal. If allowed for completeness 190:19-191:13, 191:21-192:1	191:14-20
	OK	Defs.' completeness designation, 189:8-17	189:5 (starting with "Was")-7
			188:20, 22-23
			188:17 (starting with "I believe")
			188:16 (starting with "How")
	No completeness designations are needed.	Defs.' completeness designation, 187:23-188:15, 190:2-8	Exhibit 8093)
	Response	Objection (include specific page and line numbers of material objected to and objection(s))	Page/Line Cite
		Deposition May 12, 2005 Volume 1	
	ATUNJI IDOWU italicized text)	PLAINTIFFS' DESIGNATION OF OLA	

Case 3:99-cv-	02506-SI Document 2241	Filed 11/24/08	Page 7 of 10
220:8-10	219:16-18 Exhibit 112 (Admitted as Exhibit 8114)	Page/Line Cite	
Defs.' completeness designation, 220:11-14	Defs.' completeness designation, 220:11-14	Objection (include specific page and line numbers of material objected to and objection(s)) Defs. 'completeness designation, 192:9-10	PLAINTIFFS' DESIGNATION OF OLATUNJI IDOWU (Defendants' Counter-Designations in italicized text) Deposition May 12, 2005 Volume 1
Defendants' designations are not necessary for completeness and are beyond the scope of plaintiffs' designation. This testimony is designated because it demonstrates that the minutes of this alleged meeting, which defendants relied upon, are frauditient. Both the minutes and Mr. Idowu provide that Monday Omosaye and Abia Arenewo were at a meeting in which llaje reportedly disassociated themselves from the CIC. Yet Monday Omosaye and Abiah Arenewo,	Defendants' designations are not necessary for completeness and are beyond the scope of plaintiffs' designation. This testimony is designated because it demonstrates that the minutes of this alleged meeting, which defendants relied upon, are fraudulent. Both the minutes and Mr. Idowu provide that Monday Omosaye and Abia Arenewo were at a meeting in which Ilaje reportedly disassociated themselves from the CIC. Yet Monday Omosaye and Abiah Arenewo, two members of the CIC, were reported to have attended this meeting.	Response	TUNJI IDOWU italicized text)

Defendants' designation 222:17-223:17 Defendants' designations are not necessary for completeness
minutes of this alleged meeting, which defendants relied upon, are fraudulent. Both the minutes and Mr. Idowu provide that Monday Omosaye and Abia Arenewo were at a meeting in which Ilaje reportedly disassociated themselves from the CIC. Yet Monday Omosaye and Abiah Arenewo, two members of the CIC, were reported to have attended this meeting.
Defs. 'completeness designation, 220:18-221:17 Defendants' designations are not necessary for completeness and are beyond the scope of plaintiffs' designation. This testimony is designated because it demonstrates that the
Objection (include specific page and line numbers of material objected to and objection(s)) to and objection(s)) two members of the CIC were reported to have attended this
(Defendants' Counter-Designations in italicized text) Deposition May 12, 2005 Volume 1

(Defendants' Counter-Designations in italicized text)	PLAINTIFFS' DESIGNATION OF OLATUNJI IDOWU

Deposition May 12, 2005

Volume 1

224:25-225:3	224:11-19		Page/I
25:3	9		Page/Line Cite
Defs.' completeness designation, 224:20-24, 225:6-12, 225:15-17	Defs.' completeness designation, 224:8-10		Objection (include specific page and line numbers of material objected to and objection(s))
Defendants' designations are not necessary for completeness and are beyond the scope of plaintiffs' designation. This testimony is designated because it demonstrates that the minutes of this alleged/meeting, which defendants relied upon, are fraudulent. Both the minutes and Mr. Idowu	Defendants' designations are not necessary for completeness and are beyond the scope of plaintiffs' designation. This testimony is designated because it demonstrates that the minutes of this alleged meeting, which defendants relied upon, are fraudulent Both the minutes and Mr. Idowu provide that Monday Onlosaye and Abia Arenewo were at a meeting in which Ilaje reportedly disassociated themselves from the CIC. Yet Monday Omosaye and Abiah Arenewo, two members of the CIC, were reported to have attended this meeting.	from the CIC. Yet Monday Omosaye and Abiah Arenewo, two members of the CIC, were reported to have attended this meeting.	Response

provide that Monday Omosaye and Abia Arenewo were at a

	Dels. compreheness designation, 242.0-1/	
This is not necessary for completeness. It is counsel for Jones Day suggesting something that the witness rejects.	Not proper rebuttal, but if alimitted, completeness 242:6-17	241:17-23
		(Admitted as Exhibit 8116)
	Defs.' completeness designation, 241:15-16	Exhibit 512
OK	Not proper rebuttal, but in admitted, completeness 241:15-16	241:12 (starting at "I'd like")-14
meeting in which Ilaje reportedly disassociated themselves from the CIC. Yet Monday Omosaye and Abiah Arenewo, two members of the CIC, were reported to have attended this meeting.		
Response	Objection (include specific page and line numbers of material objected to and objection(s))	Page/Line Cite
	Volume 1	
' G	Deposition May 12, 2005	
n italicized text)	(Defendants' Counter-Designations in italicized text)	
ATUNJI IDOWU	PLAINTIFFS' DESIGNATION OF OLAT	